Rec'd PCT/FTO 12 DEC 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 1 MAY 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 67787-75088	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION s	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/SE2004/001018	International filing date (da 23.06.2004	ny/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.06.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na G01C5/02	ational classification and IPC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Applicant TRIMBLE AB et al.					
Authority under Article 35 and trail This REPORT consists of a total of a sent to the applicant and to a sent to the applicant and to a sheets of the description and/or sheets contain Administrative Instruction Sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	of 6 sheets, including this by ANNEXES, comprising the International Bureaution, claims and/or drawing rectifications authorized tions). de earlier sheets, but while in the international applications authorized the same autho	s cover sheet. : : : : : : : : : : : : :	s follows: nended and are the basis of this report the Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the ders contain an amendment that goes cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the er of electronic carrier(s)), containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental		
This report contains indications r	elating to the following ite	ms:	·		
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	inion				
□ Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
☑ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
⊠ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report		
20.10.2004		10.05.2005			
Name and mailing address of the internation	onal	Authorized Officer	Just Palanten.		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Dighaye, J-L Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2823		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001018

	Box No. I Basis of the report		
1,	filed, unless otherwise indicated		
	which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following langua ranslation furnished for the purposes of:	ge ,
	international preliminary	tional application (under Hule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	With regard to the elements* of have been furnished to the receireport as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replac iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are e not annexed to this report):	ement sheets which referred to in this
	. 10		•
	Description, Pages		
	1-38	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-58	as originally filed	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	. 1/12-12/12	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Seq	uence Listing
3	. The amendments have res	ulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pagesthe claims, Nos.		
	the drawings, sheets/fig.	S	
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	sequence listing (specny):	
4	had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c	blished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this rep have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed c;)).	ort and listed below , as indicated in the
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.		
	the drawings, sheets/fig	gs 	mangan da sa
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	sequence listing (specify):	
	* If item 4 applies, s	some or all of these sheets may be marked "s	uperseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001018

Bo	x No. IV	Lack of unity of in	nvention						
. 🗆	In respondence of the restriction of the restrictio	nse to the invitation cted the claims. additional fees. additional fees unde er restricted nor pai	to restrict		litional fees,	the applicant has:			
2. 🗵	This Aut Rule 68.	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.							
3. Th is	is Authorit	y considers that the	e requirem	ent of unity	of invention	in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2	and 13.3		
	complie	d-with.		•			•••		
\boxtimes	not com	plied with for the fo	llowing rea	asons:					
•		arate sheet							
4. C	onsequent	ly, this report has b	een estab	lished in re	spect of the	following parts of the international app	olication:		
⊠	all parts					•			
	•	s relating to claims	Nos						
_	are pen	3							
B _i	ox No. V pplicabilit	Reasoned state y; citations and ex	ment und oplanation	er Article (ns support	35(2) with re ing such st	egard to novelty, inventive step or in atement	ndustrial		
1. S	tatement						•		
N	ovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-58				
ir	nventive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-58				
lr	ndustrial a	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-58				
2. C	Citations ar	nd explanations (Ru	ıle 70.7):						
	ee separa					Turps when the second			
s									
S				•					
	3ox No. V	II Certain defect			I amulianăia				

see separate sheet

To Section IV

The application comprises an excessive number of independent claims, some of which are directed to apparently distinct features not linked by a common inventive concept.

If the application is to be prosecuted, for instance as a European application, its subject-matter should be restricted to a single concept. For instance, the features of certain presently independent claims should be made the subject-matter of dependent claims if there is a basis for that in the original disclosure; or certain independent claims should be deleted from the present set of claims.

More concretely, the set of claims comprises:

- Method claim 1: it refers to three possible errors, at least one of them being used for alignment controlling:
- a) trunnion axis error;
- b) horizontal collimation error;
- c) total plumb error defined by two components PI and PII.
- Method claim 8: based on a horizontal orientation correction value depending on a transverse plumb error value. This relates to only one of the two components of claim 1, c), namely PI. Thus there is no use of the two components PI and PII of claim 1. Maybe this could be considered as a particular restriction of the method of claim 1, in which case the features of claim 8 pertaining to that restriction should be made the subject-matter of a dependent claim.
- Method claim 18: based on several specific steps (setting, pivoting, generating, automatically controlling) depending on different compass bearings, whereby no direct link to claim 1 or claim 8 is apparently present.
- Method claim 19: based on a vertical orientation correction value depending on a longitudinal plumb error value. This relates to only one of the two components of claim 1, c), namely PII. Thus there is no use of the two components PI and PII of claim 1. Maybe this could be considered as a particular restriction of the method of claim 1, in which case

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001018

the features of claim 19 pertaining to that restriction should be made the subject-matter of a dependent claim.

- Apparatus claim 28 directed to a surveying instrument suitable for the method of claim 8;
- Apparatus claim 37 directed to a surveying instrument suitable for the method of claim 19;
- Apparatus claim 40 directed to an error compensation system. This system compensates for two errors tilt error and error due to mechanical imperfections thus it is not linked to claim 1 dealing, as a minimum, with one error;
- Apparatus claim 58 directed to a surveying instrument comprising the error compensation system of claim 40.

To Section V

The closest prior art revealed by the International Search Report (ISR) appears to be D1 = US-A-6 138 367. It is considered, in the ISR, as a mere example of the general state of the art. It is analysed in the present description, p. 2, II. 9-15. D1 does not appear to disclose all the features a) through d) of claim 1, and consequently also not the more restrictive features of claims 8, 18, 19 and the corresponding apparatus claims. A system compensating for two errors, comprised (see claim 58) or not (see claim 40) in a surveying instrument, is also not disclosed in D1. Thus the present claims are considered novel over D1.

The other documents cited in the ISR, namely D2 = US-B1-6 421 360 and D3 = DE-A-100 52 150, are even less relevant. D2 is directed to a rotational constructional laser, i.e. not the kind of surveying instrument referred to in the present claims. D3 discloses a survey instrument with magnetic incremental coding means unrelated to any aspect of the present invention or inventions. Thus there appears no combination of D1 with either D2 or D3 which could render the subject-matter of the present claims obvious.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

. International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001018

To Section VII

The structure of present claim 1 is misleading. Since claim 1 is a method claim, one would expect that all clauses a) through d) each refer to a step of the method. In fact, a), b) and c) refer to types of errors, whereas only d) is a method step.